



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/806,801

03/23/2004

Arthur V. Hawley

03-0194

2906

63076 7590 07/08/2008

KLEIN, O'NEILL & SINGH, LLP
43 CORPORATE PARK
SUITE 204
IRVINE, CA 92606

EXAMINER

THOMAS, LUCY M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2836

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/08/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/806,801	Applicant(s) HAWLEY, ARTHUR V.	
	Examiner Lucy Thomas	Art Unit 2836	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,13-16 and 18-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,13-16 and 18-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2, 7-10, 15-16, 18-19, 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuras et al. (US 5,698,316). Regarding Claim 1, Kuras discloses an apparatus (see Abstract, Figure 1) for protecting a composite-body aircraft against damage from lightning strikes comprising;

an aircraft body including a plurality of composite panels 14;

a plurality of electrically conductive splice plates 28 configured to join adjacent ones of the composite panels to one another at respective edges of the adjacent composite panels;

plurality of electrically conductive straps 32;

and plurality of electrically conductive fasteners 20;

wherein the straps and fasteners mechanically and electrically couple adjacent ends of the splice plates (ends of 28 to both sides of 16) to one another such that the splice plates form a continuous, electrically conductive grid disposed on the exterior surface of the aircraft body (splice plates 28 are mechanically and electrically coupled for the passage of lightning strike energy, see Figure 2, Column 7, lines 23-32, Column 1, lines 12-15, 42-50, Column 5, lines 1-9).

Regarding Claim 2, Kuras discloses the apparatus, wherein the continuous, electrically conductive grid extends to the outermost lateral periphery of the aircraft body (grid formed by 28 is on the exterior of the aircraft body).

Regarding Claim 7, Kuras discloses the apparatus, wherein the plurality of composite panels comprises graphite fibers (Column 4, lines 28-30).

Regarding Claim 8, Kuras discloses the apparatus, wherein the aircraft body includes an electrical system, and wherein the electrically conductive grid comprises a ground return path of the electrical system (Column 1, lines 51-58).

Regarding Claims 9-10, and 15-16, the recited steps of the method claims would necessarily be performed when using the apparatus recited in Claim/s 1-2, 4, and 7-8. Therefore, please see the rejection/s for Claims 1-2, 4, and 7-8 recited above.

Regarding Claim 18, Kuras discloses an aircraft body, comprising: a plurality of composite panels 14, adjacent pairs 14a, 14b of the composite panels defining a groove 16 therebetween; and a plurality of electrically conductive splice plates 28, each splice plate disposed within one of the grooves; wherein adjacent ends of the splice plates are mechanically and electrically coupled to one another such that the splice plates form a continuous, electrically conductive grid disposed on the exterior surface of the aircraft body (splice plates 28 are mechanically and electrically coupled for the passage of lightning strike energy, see Figure 2, Column 7, lines 23-32,, Column 1, lines 12-15, 42-50, Column 5, lines 1-9).

Regarding Claim 19, Kuras discloses a plurality of electrically conductive straps 32 and a plurality of electrically conductive fasteners 20, wherein the straps and the

fasteners mechanically and electrically couple the adjacent ends of the splice plates to one another.

Claims 22-24 basically recite the elements of Claims 2, 7-8 respectively, except that the aircraft body of Claim 18 is recited. Therefore, please see the rejections for Claims 2 and 7-8 recited above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuras et al. (US 5,698,316). Regarding Claims 5, 13, and 20, although Kuras does not disclose using titanium for the conductive splice plates, he does disclose the use of titanium as connector. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized titanium for the splice plates also for its superior material properties, strength, weight, and electric potential.

5. Claims 6, 14, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuras et al. (US 5,698,316) in view of Sankrithi (US 6,666,406). Regarding Claims 6, 14, and 21, Kuras does not disclose that the aircraft a blended-wing-body ("BWB") type aircraft. Sankrithi discloses a blended wing aircraft is made of composite materials (column 3, lines 12-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the plurality of splice plates of Kuras in blended

wing aircraft because Sankrithi teaches these aircraft have composite panels and Kuras teaches such panels need protection.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/20/2008 have been fully considered.
7. Regarding Applicant's arguments toward Kuras reference: Kuras reference discloses all the elements of amended claim 1, as shown in Figure 1 of Kuras, 14 reads on plurality of composite panels, 28 (electromagnetic shielding material made of copper mesh) reads on plurality of conductive splices, 32 reads on plurality of conductive straps, 20 reads on plurality of fasteners, and straps and fasteners mechanically and electrically couple adjacent ends of the splice plates (ends of 28 on both sides of 16) to one another such that the splice plates form a continuous electrically conductive grid on the exterior surface of the air-craft body (see Figure 2, Column 7, lines 23-32, Column 1, lines 12-15, 42-50, Column 5, lines 1-9).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lucy Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-6002. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Sherry/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836

/L. T./

Examiner, Art Unit 2836

June 30, 2008